	Case 1:22-cv-00950-KES-CDB Docume	nt 42 Filed 12/18/24 Page 1 of 3	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ANDRES MASQUEDA SERRANO,	Case No.: 1:22-cv-00950-KES-CDB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE IN WRITING WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO FILE AN OPPOSITION OR	
13	v.		
14	ROBERT RUDAS, et al.,	STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION	
15	Defendants.	14-DAY DEADLINE	
16			
17	Plaintiff Andres Masqueda Serrano is proceeding pro se and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this civil		
18 19	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds against Defendant Rudas for		
20	violations of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.		
21	I. INTRODUCTION		
22	On July 10, 2024, the Court issued its Discovery and Scheduling Order. (Doc. 29.)		
23	On November 8, 2024, Defendant filed a motion for administrative relief, seeking an		
24	extension of the deadline for filing a motion for summary judgment for a failure to exhaust		
25	administrative remedies. (Doc. 36.) On November 13, 2024, the Court granted Defendant's		
26	motion and extended the relevant deadline to December 2, 2024. (Doc. 38.)		
27	On November 19, 2024, Defendant filed a motion for partial summary judgment based on		
28	Plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative rea	medies. (Doc. 39.) Defendant's motion included a	

1	Rand ¹ warning (Doc. 39-3), specifically addressing the requirements concerning an opposition to		
2	a motion for summary judgment. Despite the passage of more than 21 days, Plaintiff has failed to		
3	file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendant's motion.		
4	II. DISCUSSION		
5	Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure concerns summary judgment. It provides		
6	in relevant part:		
7	Failing to Properly Support or Address a Fact. If a party fails to properly support an assertion of fact or fails to properly address		
9	another party's assertion of fact as required by Rule 56(c), the court may:		
10	(1) give an opportunity to properly support or address the fact;		
11	(2) consider the fact undisputed for purposes of the motion;		
12	(3) grant summary judgment if the motion and supporting materials—including the facts considered undisputed—show		
13	that the movant is entitled to it; or		
14	(4) issue any other appropriate order.		
15	Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). This Court's Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil		
16	Procedure 11, provide that a "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with		
17	any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions		
18	authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." See Local Rule 110.		
19	Further, Local Rule 230 states:		
20	Opposition, if any to the granting of a motion shall be served and		
21	filed by the responding party not more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who has		
22	no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in		
23	question. Failure of the responding party to file an opposition or statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any		
24	opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions.		
25			
26	Local Rule 230(<i>l</i>). Therefore, Plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendant'		
27	pending motion for partial summary judgment was due on or before December 10, 2024, plus time		
28			

¹ Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998).

Case 1:22-cv-00950-KES-CDB Document 42 Filed 12/18/24 Page 3 of 3 for mailing. Plaintiff has failed to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition, and the time to do so has now passed. III. **CONCLUSION AND ORDER** Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause in writing, within 14 days of the date of service of this order, why sanctions should not be imposed for his failure to comply with the Local Rules. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment filed November 19, 2024. Plaintiff is advised that a failure to respond to this Order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for a failure to obey court orders and a failure to prosecute. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **December 18, 2024**